

## **Communication from Public**

**Name:** Karen  
**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 08:02 AM  
**Council File No:** 21-0828  
**Comments for Public Posting:** Stop expanding the zoo

## **Communication from Public**

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 08:54 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** No need to expand a zoo - Griffith is beautiful

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Summer Dean

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 08:55 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** Griffith park is one of the most biodiversity areas of Los Angeles that locals enjoy year round. There is no need to destroy native plants and habitat for a zoo expansion. Please do not consider this project!

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Cora Goodrich

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 08:57 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** A zoo is supposed to help protect and conserve biodiversity, not destroy it. Don't expand the zoo to destroy native plants and other wildlife.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Caro Vilain

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 09:08 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** Please don't bring a theme park into Griffith park. This would ruin one of the most enjoyable parks in LA, and a theme park would ruin that. Please just give us trees, trails, and safe bike infrastructure.

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 09:21 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I oppose the expansion of the zoo. It is irresponsible to to destroy the ecosystem/environment at Griffith for this purpose. NO LA ZOO EXPANSION!

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Robin Tala

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 09:22 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I oppose the zoo expansion in order to protect the biodiversity of Griffith Park

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Francis Ngo

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 09:24 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** Destroy natural habitat/home for native animals and species (or what's left of them) to house non-native species and theme park rides to draw in more tourists to Los Angeles? Yeah, hard no.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** josie j

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 09:30 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a life long resident/ native/ / teacher/ working artists I heavily oppose the expansion of the LA Zoo. It is wasteful and ignores the negative drawbacks. It seems more like fluff to pitch the LA Olympics. It benefits the animals less including the wildlife that already existing on the land. It awfully seems like backward thinking, the end nullifying any good conservation at the zoo would do. My community also heavily opposes this, which include other local artist.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Patrick Ioakimedes

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 09:41 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** Greetings. I am sharing a public comment to strongly oppose both the decision to hold the olympics in LA in 2028, as well as oppose any plans to expand the LA Zoo into Griffith Park, destroying some 200 protected plants and harming the needed biodiversity in the area. We should be rewilding natural habitats, and working to expand biodiversity, not take away from and destroy it. "Don't it always seem to go, that you don't know what you've got til it's gone." Thank you

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Don Lake

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 10:09 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I am opposed to any additions to the LA zoo. The City has abused the park too much. The city should remove the dump and restore the area. We do not need a zoo. Return the animals to nature.

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 10:18 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** This comment is to urge the city to not expand the LA Zoo further. Griffith Park is an important historic landmark to Los Angeles equivalent to Central Park to New York City. Projects have been consistently proposed for the park and all have the potential to further limit Angelenos access to parks. Zoos in general are not something many people are enticed to visit anyway on the principle of animals being enclosed, this is the sentiment I get when I have invited friends to the zoo. The trails in this area of the park are some of the best to get away from distractions from existing tourist hot spots like the Griffith Observatory and the Hollywood Sign. Creating attractions to stimulate the economy is great however with sites like Universal Studios nearby and other well known landmarks, this would risk being overlooked. With potential higher admission prices, any proposed parking fees (adding on to the ones at the Observatory), etc, even Angelenos will avoid the area. The park is historic and LA shouldn't cater solely to tourists but current and future residents who move to the city. We need to keep some spaces that remind us how the city once was.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Corey D

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 10:20 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I am absolutely opposed to the plan to expand the LA Zoo via destruction of, and further appropriation of, land that should be maintained and preserved as a habitat for natural wildlife, flora and fauna. To do this under the guise of touting conservation is wild hypocrisy and offensive to rational thought. Expanding to attract visitors and tourists in the shadow of a wildly unpopular Olympics bid screams misuse of public funds that the LA taxpayer will be left on the hook for. The inevitable cost overages and ecological harm spits in the face of the people that fund and utilize Griffith Park year round, year after year.

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 10:24 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I love the good work that the LA Zoo does but am opposed to expanding the premises to the detriment of surrounding Griffith Park and its unique biome. Surprisingly, Los Angeles is known worldwide for its protected spaces and hiking culture, and continued urban sprawl such as this proposed project will not only endanger the flora and fauna of the area, but will in the long term erode the appeal of our city, without a doubt.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Lauren

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 10:26 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** Do not expand the zoo. Terrible idea. Preserve Griffith Park for LAs citizens.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Miles Mueller

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 10:33 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I want to start by saying I respect the intentions stated in the Zoo Vision Plan. It is obvious that, now more than ever, creating more connection with nature is essential. However, it is arguable a human-curated exhibition of animals in less-than-natural habitats achieves this better than say, 21 acres of already existing nature... While our current climate change narrative focuses on carbon, the fact of the matter is, the destruction of local ecosystems and areas of wild space for "growth and development" is truly the root of it all. Clearing 21 acres of actual nature; chopping down mature trees which provide food, housing and more for all manner of critters, to develop an anthropocentric space "dedicated" to nature is ultimate irony, and part of the problem. Developers will argue that 21 acres is a minuscule amount. But this is exactly how it happens. 21 acres here, 21 acres there, chipping away slowly but surely until there are no natural spaces left. This small 21 acres therefore encapsulates the entire climate situation; which arrives one local decision at a time. I humbly request you reject the Main plan and instead go with Alternative 1 as a fair compromise -- providing infrastructure improvements to the zoo whilst stopping the expansion, which as the EIR states, is the Environmentally Superior Alternative. I'll leave you with the lyrics of a classic Joni Mitchell tune, feel free to come to your own conclusions. "They took all the trees put 'em in a tree museum And they charged the people a dollar an'a half just to see 'em Don't it always seem to go That you don't know what you've got till it's gone They paved paradise, put up a parking lot."

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Roseanne Costantino

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 10:44 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** There are so many reasons why the Zoo should NOT be expanded - starting with leaving alone the habitat and the wild animals of the Park. Additionally, the Zoo has sufficient attractions and animals for the residents of LA, the animals (both wild and confined) would be disturbed by the construction, and the funds used could instead be used for improving existing zoo habitats or education for the community about the glory of the intact Griffith Park. Please do not expand the Zoo. Our city is filled with other more pressing and logical areas for attention and funding.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Jeremy Benjamin

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 10:49 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I oppose the destruction of our precious ecosystems. The Zoo expansion would result in a significant loss of over 20 acres of native woodland habitat including 201 City Protected Trees and Shrubs, such as coast live oaks and toyons, and rare and a near-threatened California black walnut trees. This is unacceptable. DO NOT DO THIS.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Paloma Stark

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 11:18 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** The idea of bulldozing over absolutely precious land that is part of one of the last few beacons of wilderness in LA, Griffith Park, in order to expand the LA Zoo is almost comical. Replacing real nature with fake nature, in a city that absolutely starved of the real stuff to begin with. Instead, how about we bulldoze over the pointless, resource-sucking zoo and give back the land to the native species that are facing threats from every direction: temperature change, land-use change, invasive species, drought, the list goes on..... And then you add in the layer of the fact that this is for the Olympics??! Then it just gets sad. Our city infrastructure literally cannot handle an event like this IN GENERAL and the desperate attempts to prove otherwise (like creating an amusement park in Griffith) are like trying to paint over walls covered in mold and cracks. I would argue that parks like Griffith are one of the most valuable resources that LA has left. The Olympics will charge in, trample all over the place, and pack out again, like the circus shitshow that it is, but bulldozing that land is a stain that cannot be erased. Precious old trees will disappear; insects, birds, detritivores, mammals, and many more will lose their food sources and shelters, and the functioning of the surrounding ecosystem will be disrupted. All in the name of appearances. Shame on you!!!!

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 11:24 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** Natural spaces -- and the educational, environmental, and ecological characteristics -- far outweigh the benefits that Angelenos and tourists would get from a larger zoo. Put money into natural spaces, not expanding more impervious anthropocentric spaces.

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 11:25 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** Please preserve the wilderness contained within Griffith Park. Destroying natural habitat and shrinking one of the greatest city-bound parks in the world for the short term benefit of adding to tourism associated with an event like the Olympics is a shortsighted strategy. It also sends the wrong message about our city leadership's supposed to commitment to protect the environment.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Bee Harris

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 11:27 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a long time resident of LA I oppose the expansion of the Los Angeles Zoo that would result in a significant loss of over 20 acres of native woodland habitat in Griffith park. I enjoy the native & wild aspects of Griffith park, please do not harm more of this beautiful wildlife for an expansion of a zoo which is archaic and insensitive at this point in time anyways. Thank you.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Sarah Carter-Hayes

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 11:32 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I'm here to say I STRONGLY oppose the City's Bureau of Engineering proposal to expand the LA Zoo that would result in a significant loss of over 20 acres of native woodland habitat, including 201 city protected trees and shrubs such as live oaks and toyons, and rare and near-threatened CA black walnut trees. Again, I strongly oppose the destruction of our precious and valued ecosystems. Thank you for your consideration of my comment.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Kristin Reeg

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 11:39 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I live in Silver Lake and hike at Griffith Park at least 3 times a week- daily in the summer. I oppose the expansion of the LA Zoo on environmental grounds. We can't afford any more habitat loss. Our native oaks and other important native plants are stressed as it is by the extreme drought. Please do not go forward with this proposed expansion.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** vidal jennifer

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 11:48 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I am writing to urge you to protect The woodlands around Griffith zoo from development. This is a hugely expensive project both in consideration of the capital investment and the cost to the wildlife, woodlands and our future generations. Our wild lands are becoming more and more precious as development progresses and we need to protect our eco system not only for the sake of the land, animals, and flora but also for our health and well-being. We have a duty to think beyond our lifetime into the lives of future generations and protect woodlands, wild animals, medicines, and the beauty of the natural world. Thank you for taking this courageous step on protecting the increasingly threatened land, animals, and humans.

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 11:57 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I am NOT in favor of the proposed expansion of the Los Angeles Zoo. We need to preserve and take care of the natural beauty that already exists in Griffith Park. Please do not take this away from us!

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 12:27 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** Please don't expand the zoo or shrink Griffith Park. Zoos are awful and the parkland is priceless.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Charles Anderson

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 12:32 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** Griffith Park is a necessity to the people of Los Angeles, and the proposed zoo expansion will come at the expense of some of the last of this city's nature. We need more public access to wilderness, not less. It is a refuge from from this urban sprawl. Anything that proposes a destruction of the wilderness, and any plans to limit public access to nature, we must refuse. No to zoo expansion.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Christine

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 12:51 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I oppose expansion of the Los Angeles Zoo. You are proposing to destroy 20 acres of native woodland habitat in an urban location. You are proposing to destroy 201 protected trees and shrubs (which absorb carbon dioxide and issue oxygen). Please do not be greedy for Olympics revenue at the cost of nature. The City will make plenty of money from the financial circus that is the Olympics. What happens to Los Angeles, it's remaining wildlife and citizenry after the Olympics pass us by? Peace & Love to you. Do the right thing for the planet, the city and all life and do not expand the Los Angeles Zoo.

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 12:53 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I oppose the destruction of our precious ecosystems on 20 acres of Griffith Park for the Olympics in Los Angeles.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Clementine

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 01:26 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** Do not expand the zoo!!! Griffith park is special and so extremely important for the city and we need to keep it protected

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Francis Macias

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 01:26 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** To the City of Los Angeles: I am submitting this comment to request that you do not expand the Los Angeles Zoo into Griffith Park. Griffith Park is a globally recognized biodiversity hotspot and home to unique flora and fauna. We are living an era of mass extinction and need to maintain the biodiversity of flora and fauna within Griffith Park. Please do not attempt to develop it as a theme park. The 20 acres of native woodland habitat is more precious than any theme park ever could be.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Whitney Reiner  
**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 01:47 PM  
**Council File No:** 21-0828  
**Comments for Public Posting:** We need to preserve the wild lands and biodiversity of Griffith park. Please do not expand the zoo.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Jean Kang

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 01:50 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** Please do not destroy Griffith Park to expand the Zoo. If the purpose of having a zoo in the first place is to preserve vulnerable species, then we should preserve the unique and vulnerable fauna and flora of the park by leaving it alone. Honestly, I'd rather the funds be allocated to making Los Angeles more handicap friendly as well expanding the public transportation system so that it is safe and clean, things LA actually needs vs creating something that we don't.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Nate  
**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 02:12 PM  
**Council File No:** 21-0828  
**Comments for Public Posting:** NO EXPANSION do not expand the LA zoo. Los Angeles has lost enough of its wild spades already. You guys should be ashamed of yourselves. Your kids will wonder who's responsible only to grow up hating you and your decisions.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Michele Fortier

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 02:18 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** Hi! I'm an avid cyclist and trail runner and I think it's so important that we protect the nature and biodiversity of Griffith park. The zoo should not be expanded, and we should keep griffith park beautiful as bad wild.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Clint Sewell

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 02:30 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I appose this expansion on the basis of its negative impact to the natural resources and animal habitat in Griffith Park and greater Santa Monica mountains.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Pearl Hsiung

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 02:42 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** Please vote to support Alternative 1 to limit the zoo expansion.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Sarah Taubman

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 02:48 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a US citizen and Los Angeles resident I oppose the proposed expansion of the zoo in Griffith Park. We need to retain the biodiversity we have and keep native habitat for native plants, insects and animals. We do not need any more space dedicated to animals that have been removed from their native habitat for our entertainment. Please leave alone the little natural habitat that we have in Los Angeles.

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 03:26 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of Los Angeles, living close to Griffith Park, please do not expand the LA Zoo and destroy the 227 City Protected Trees and fragile ecosystem that current take up that area. This planned project will further hurt the environment with increased traffic and pollution. This expansion smells like a money grab for more tourism around the 2028 Olympics and a disregard for the citizens (and flora and fauna) who will have to live with the outcome long after the games are over. Please instead consider the Friends of Griffith Park Alternative.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Vanessa Patricia Riegel

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 03:31 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** Hi, I am writing to request that the Dept. of Recreation and Parks DOES NOT expand the LA Zoo. This would result in a significant loss of over 20 acres of native woodland habitat including 201 City Protected Trees and Shrubs. Let's please protect the animals and plants living wildly in the park before expanding the CAGING of animals within it. Really sick of the 2028 Olympic Tourism that continues to threaten the city and those that live within it.

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 03:31 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I do not support an expansion of the LAZoo into Griffith Park.  
Please do not destroy our green space and respite from the city.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Kelsey R.

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 04:06 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I strongly oppose the destruction of our precious ecosystems by the expansion of the Los Angeles Zoo into Griffith Park. Griffith Park is home to not only old growth oak woodlands that need to be protected to support many important local wildlife species to the area, but it is also home to many other tree and plant species that provide essential foods and habitat. We need to protect and preserve the last of our wild spaces in this city.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Lee

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 04:10 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** Please NO EXPANSION OF GRIFFITH PARK ZOO- the harm to the biodiversity within the park makes this a horrible idea. Keep the park wild, not filled with more caged animals. PLEASE NO EXPANSION OF GRIFFITH PARK ZOO

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 04:15 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I urge the city to stop keep Griffith Park wild and oppose the expansion of the LA Zoo. Losing 20 acres of native woodland habitat, including 201 city protected trees and shrubs such as the threatened California Black Walnut species, would be a great loss to the community in and around Griffith Park who enjoy the abundant benefits that the natural environment provides. Our city is already polluted and we cannot allow any more environmental degradation of vital Los Angeles ecosystems to occur. Please end this plan! Thank you.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Riley

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 04:29 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I oppose the expansion of the LA zoo. The destruction of 20 acres of Griffith park would eradicate countless species of plant and animal life, as well as displace many more species. Do not allow any actions that would lessen the footprint of one of LA's few green spaces.

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 04:47 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** Though I love the zoo, I oppose the taking of more wild land from Griffith Park for the purpose of a zoo expansion. There are native and rare trees that would be taken, and it is unnecessary. The zoo is a good size for its use already and is rarely crowded. It is not wise to expand just for the sake of “out of towners” who may or may not choose to visit during the Olympics when they come to LA.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Grace Wheelan

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 05:03 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** To whom it may concern, I vehemently oppose the destruction of our ecosystem to expand the Los Angeles Zoo, a zoo that is already condemned by animal activists who demand Billy the Elephant, a beautiful animal that has been stuck in a small concrete cage for 2 decades, for a tourist trap that no Angeleno has ever asked for. Why destroy acres of beautiful wildlife to further the expansion of an absolute horrid prison for wild animals? It would be a travesty to rob these beautiful, wild creatures open and floral land to further the gains of an animal prison. The irony! Henry Miller is rolling in his grave at the idea of American excess, greed, and destruction of the planet being wrapped up in one giant, developer nightmare. Please, vote no and let us keep our beautiful Griffith Park home to free animals and plants and fauna, and not the property of a place to imprison them.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Emily Neisig

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 05:13 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** Please do not expand the LA Zoo. Griffith Park is a haven for the nature lovers and wildlife that call Los Angeles home, and removing any more of it would be a travesty. -Emily

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Maureen Noble  
**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 05:46 PM  
**Council File No:** 21-0828  
**Comments for Public Posting:** Do not expand the zoo! Keep what little green space we have green!

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Mariana Duran

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 05:49 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** The city does NOT need this expansion. For far too long council members have disregarded the environment and needs of its citizens.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** DIANE

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 06:10 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** The LA Zoo Expansion should not go an inch beyond Alternative #1; the Environmentally Superior Alternative. The World Wildlife Fund's 2018 Living Planet report demonstrates a global wildlife population loss of 60 percent between 1970 and 2014. The report, which tracks over 4,000 species of mammals, birds, fish, reptiles and amphibians, is published every two years. "Earth is losing biodiversity at a rate seen only during mass extinctions," the report says. (<https://wildlife.org/wwf-finds-global-wildlife-has-declined-60-percent-since-1970/>) What more does anyone need to know? We MUST preserve our wildlife in every way possible. Period. This means NO MORE UNNECESSARY DEVELOPMENT OF OPEN LAND. This means NOT DESTROYING 23 ACRES OF HABITAT, AND 227 PROTECTED TREES. WE DON'T NEED MORE AMUSEMENT PARKS. WE NEED MORE NATIVE HABITAT AND UNDEVELOPED LAND. WE CANNOT CONTINUE TO BE SO STUPIDLY SHORT-SIGHTED IN OUR CITY'S DEVELOPMENT. Please behave as though you care about the environment and our wildlife. Behave as though this was YOUR home.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Rachel Wierick

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 06:33 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** The LA Zoo is wonderful as it is, and we don't need to destroy the natural habitats around it for expansion! Isn't that contrary to what a zoo should be supporting anyways?

## Communication from Public

**Name:** S. Williams

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 07:11 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I am opposed to the expansion of the LA Zoo in Griffith Park.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Taylor Luse

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 08:00 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I oppose the expansion of the LA Zoo. We need to protect the land and natural surrounding. The citizens of LA do not want or need a larger zoo. The Olympic visitors in 2028 will have so many better things to do and there's no long term need nor business opportunity. Protect the land!

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Kay  
**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 08:44 PM  
**Council File No:** 21-0828  
**Comments for Public Posting:** I do not support the expansion of the Los Angeles Zoo.

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 08:57 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** Please do not expand the zoo in Griffith Park! Plenty for tourists to do in L.A. Let them visit the beach, Tar pits, observatory, Runyon Canyon and Disneyland! Griffith is home to rare trees and wildlife that draws in many locals every weekend. This will cause long-term inconveniences in traffic, interrupt the eco system of the park and activities of the locals. Additionally it will kill acres of trees and displace wildlife. Zoos are losing their popularity by the year and expansion may not yield the estimated profits to outweigh the time and labor it will take to make this project possible. Please keep Griffith wild. No to zoo expansion!

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Jodi Ehrlich

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 09:10 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** please no huge special events facility, the “California Visitor Center,” no funicular, no planted hillside vineyard, - no more grapes- not native and no huge rock-climbing wall Griffith Park is NOT NOT NOT an amusement park- we have Universal Studios, Knotts Berry Farm and Disneyland for that please protect our native woodlands both plants and native wildlife

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Kathy Hoang

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 09:11 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I urge you to vote against expansion of the LA Zoo. Please protect and preserve the native habitat, including native oak and walnut trees. Thank you.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Michael Naud

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 09:16 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I think thst expanding the la zoo is a terrible idea at the expense of Griffith park. We are never going to compete with the SD zoo but that have nothing like Griffith Park. We need to keep it open and free and not for putting animals in cages.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Sophia

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 11:04 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** There is no reason to expand the LA zoo and ruin native biodiversity. Put money into homing the unhoused. We don't need more caged animals.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Trina Malone

**Date Submitted:** 12/06/2021 11:45 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I am commenting to explore you to reject a LA zoo expansion into the wild of Griffith park. Please don't hinder our biodiversity for more cages

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Jane Fowler

**Date Submitted:** 12/07/2021 07:21 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** We do not need land destroyed for visitors of the Olympics. They are going to come and go. Yes we want to be proud of our city. We have Disneyland, Magic Mountain, Universal Studios, Knots Berry Farm, Legoland, water parks, a great Zoo.... etc. We have Hollywood- make that shine. One of the places people visiting CA want to experience. Downtown- take care of the homeless crisis, Chinatown, Our beaches are our “gold” as well. Santa Monica, Venice beach - that’s a fun beach but it needs to be cleaned up. Not gentrified! The funky part is what makes it unique and tourist love and it represents a party of CA. We have so much greatness already, please make what we already have sparkle. Do not touch our land and wildlife habitats.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Concerned Citizen

**Date Submitted:** 12/07/2021 07:22 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** Please support Alternative 1 • Don't destroy 23 acres of native habitat, including 227 City-protected trees • The full project is counter to City priorities, including the Biodiversity Report and the LA Sustainability Plan • The LA Zoo will still benefit from zoo animal care improvements and many visitor amenities if Alternative 1 is implemented So much of the future of our world depends on actions we take today. Every opportunity to conserve natural habitats and wildlife must be taken, and every chance to stop their destruction avoided at all costs. I implore you to consider Alternative 1, an environmentally friendly way to expand the LA Zoo in a way that does not endanger surrounding habitats or wildlife.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Marie Massa

**Date Submitted:** 12/07/2021 07:30 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** Griffith Park already has been developed too much. The wild animals that depend on this area for their habitat range need to be considered over the zoo's potential profits.

## **Communication from Public**

**Name:** Kismet  
**Date Submitted:** 12/07/2021 07:52 AM  
**Council File No:** 21-0828  
**Comments for Public Posting:** Save the trees!

## **Communication from Public**

**Name:** Lisa

**Date Submitted:** 12/07/2021 08:33 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I support the plans for the LA zoo's expansion plan

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Riah buchanan

**Date Submitted:** 12/07/2021 10:42 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** Please choose Alternative 1. The zoo does not need to cut down hundreds of native trees and destroy native animal habitat to bring more people to the zoo.